Influence of Pore-Size Distribution on the Resistance of Clay Brick to Freeze–Thaw Cycles

Netinger Grubeša, Ivanka; Vračević, Martina; Ranogajec, Jonjaua; Vučević, Snežana

Source / Izvornik: **Materials, 2020, 13, 1 - 17**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13102364>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:133:726457>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-01-22**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository GrAFOS - Repository of Faculty of Civi](https://repozitorij.gfos.hr)l [Engineering and Architecture Osijek](https://repozitorij.gfos.hr)

Influence of Pore-Size Distribution on the Resistance of Clay Brick to Freeze–Thaw Cycles

Ivanka Netinger Grubeša 1,*, Martina Vraˇcevi´c ² , Jonjaua Ranogajec ³ and Snežana Vuˇceti´c [3](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6415-3837)

- ¹ Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture Osijek, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Vladimira Preloga 3, 31000 Osijek, Croatia
- ² Laboratory for Materials Testing, Civil Engineering Institute of Croatia, Drinska 18, 31000 Osijek, Croatia; martina.vracevic@igh.hr
- ³ Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, bul cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia; janjar@uns.ac.rs (J.R.); snezanap@uns.ac.rs (S.V.)
- ***** Correspondence: nivanka@gfos.hr

Received: 28 April 2020; Accepted: 19 May 2020; Published: 21 May 2020

Abstract: This study examines the influence of raw material characteristics, methods of shaping and of parameters of firing process of clay bricks, on pore-size distribution and on resistance to freeze–thaw cycles (with particular emphasis on the retention time of the specimens at the maximum achieved temperature). Pore-size distribution was measured by mercury-intrusion porosimetry, while the resistance to freeze–thaw cycles was assessed by exposing the bricks to freeze–thaw cycles (HRN B.D8.011 standard) monitoring the appearance of surface changes, decrease of compressive strength as well as the Maage factor. A correlation was set up between the Maage factor and the ratio of the compressive strength before and after freezing as a quantitative indicator of bricks resistance to frost. By using this correlation for all the examined bricks, regardless of their raw material and shaping procedure, a low coefficient of correlation ($R^2 = 0.26$) was obtained. When processed separately, machine-made bricks had a significantly higher correlation coefficient value ($R^2 = 0.60$) than the hand-made bricks ($R^2 = 0.28$).

Keywords: clay bricks; resistance to freeze–thaw cycles; raw materials; shaping procedure; firing regime; pore-size distribution; compressive strength; Maage factor

1. Introduction

One of the main requirements of brick wall elements is their durability. Salt crystallization and cycles of water freezing–thawing are considered to be among the main factors of brick degradation $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$. Porous building materials such as brick wall elements always contain a certain amount of moisture in their structure, which directly influences the material properties such as strength, shrinking/expansion properties, vapor permeability and resistance to external conditions. According to the European regulations, the resistance of bricks to freeze–thaw cycles is assessed through CEN/TS 772-22 standard [\[4\]](#page-15-2). However, in addition to the aforementioned direct method of testing the resistance of bricks to freeze–thaw cycles, the literature mentions some indirect procedures/methods and limits critical values per procedure/method to grade the resistance of bricks in freeze–thaw cycles. A highly acknowledged indirect procedure for predicting the resistance of bricks to freeze–thaw cycles is the well-known Maage coefficient [\[5–](#page-15-3)[10\]](#page-15-4). The Maage coefficient is based on experimental results and presents a statistical model with two main variables: the total volume of pores (PV), and the share of pores of a certain diameter, i.e., pores larger than $3 \mu m$ (P3). According to Maage, pores larger than $3 \mu m$ in diameter have a beneficial effect on frost resistance of bricks. Other authors claim that it is precisely the large pores that are responsible for the good resistance of clay bricks to freeze–thaw cycles [\[1](#page-15-0)[,3,](#page-15-1)[11–](#page-15-5)[14\]](#page-15-6).

Furthermore, small pores are not considered to significantly affect the resistance of brick to freeze–thaw cycles, while those of medium size are considered to be critical pores [\[1,](#page-15-0)[3\]](#page-15-1). According to Elert et al. [\[11\]](#page-15-5), small pores are defined as ones whose diameter is <0.2 µm, medium-sized pores are of diameter 0.2–2 μ m while large pores are of diameter >2 μ m. Pores with diameters <0.25 μ m are considered to be small pores and those with diameter $>1.4 \mu m$ as large pores according to Ravaglioli [\[15\]](#page-15-7), while Koroth [\[1\]](#page-15-0) and Kung [\[16\]](#page-16-0) consider pores in the range $0.1-1.0 \mu m$ to be critical (medium-sized pore). Culturone et al. [\[12\]](#page-15-8) divided pores in two groups; pores with radius <1 µm (small pores or micropores) and pores with radius >1 μ m (large pores or macropores) considering the small pores harmful. The presence of small pores negatively affects the quality of bricks, since their capacity to absorb and retain water increases [\[12\]](#page-15-8). Stryszewska and Kanka [\[14\]](#page-15-6) divided pores into as many as five groups (<0.1 µm, 0.1–1 µm, 1–3 µm, 3–10 µm and >10 µm). They set up a correlation between the particular form of frost damage and the prevailing pore group. The final conclusion in their research is that bricks without any signs of damage are clearly characterized by the prevalence of pores with diameters in the range of 3–10 μ m and that the pores with diameter <0.1 μ m do not affect the resistance of brick to freeze–thaw cycles.

Pore-size distribution in a brick unit is influenced by the characteristics of raw materials mixture, shaping procedure (hand-made or machine-made bricks) and thermal treatment. Elert et al. [\[11\]](#page-15-5) have studied the frost resistance of hand-made bricks shaped from two different raw materials (calcareous and non-calcareous clay) and fired at 700, 800, 900, 1000 and 1100 ◦C each. The conclusion of their research was that the durability of non-calcareous bricks fired at 1000 ◦C or above is generally superior. These bricks display lower total porosity and a higher degree of vitrification than the corresponding calcareous specimens. For non-calcareous clays, a firing temperature of 1000 ◦C is high enough to produce durable bricks, while a temperature of 1100 \degree C is necessary in the case of calcareous clays. Elert et al. [\[11\]](#page-15-5) observed that brick specimens with high porosity and a high percentage of pores with a diameter of $\langle 2 \mu m \rangle$ underwent significant damage during freeze-thaw cycles. The authors in $[12,17,18]$ $[12,17,18]$ $[12,17,18]$ also concluded that the clay with a lower CaCO₃ content would ensure more exceptional durability as the final products. For raw materials with slightly higher carbonate content, it is necessary to increase the firing temperature in order to reduce the amount of medium-sized (harmful) pores, which are formed by CO_2 -separation from the raw material [\[5,](#page-15-3)[18\]](#page-16-2).

The authors in [\[19](#page-16-3)[–21\]](#page-16-4) claim that hand-made bricks contain a higher content of large pores than machine-made bricks, which renders hand-made bricks more resistant to freeze–thaw cycles. On the other hand, the research presented in [\[22\]](#page-16-5) clearly shows that machine-made brick contains significant content of large pores. It should be noted here that the hand-made and machine-made bricks explored in [\[22\]](#page-16-5) were not made from the same raw material. Machine and hand-made bricks produced from the same raw material were studied in [\[23\]](#page-16-6) and it is observed that hand-made bricks achieved a higher content of large pores than machine-made bricks fired at the same temperature. The basic difference between machine and hand-made production of bricks, based on the same raw material, lies in their textural properties. Elert et al. [\[11\]](#page-15-5) and Netinger et al. [\[23\]](#page-16-6) studied the influence of firing temperature on the pore structure of clay bricks and concluded that the total porosity of brick specimens decreases and pore-size distribution changes towards larger pore sizes as the firing temperatures rise. The authors in [\[24\]](#page-16-7) came to the same conclusion regarding the pore structures of clay roofing tiles. The retention at the maximum firing temperature is also an important parameter considering the structural characteristics of the final product. A more extended retention period has evidently a positive influence on the final properties of the product [\[25\]](#page-16-8).

In order to assess clay product's resistance to freeze–thaw cycles, researchers have been studying the changes of the following properties during freeze–thaw cycles: surface appearance of the specimens [\[14,](#page-15-6)[23,](#page-16-6)[24,](#page-16-7)[26\]](#page-16-9), flexural strength and toughness [\[24\]](#page-16-7), compressive strength and dynamic modulus of elasticity [\[27\]](#page-16-10), propagation speed of ultrasonic waves through specimens [\[12](#page-15-8)[,27\]](#page-16-10), weight of specimens [\[11](#page-15-5)[,26](#page-16-9)[–28\]](#page-16-11) and the structure of pores [\[24\]](#page-16-7). During freeze–thaw cycles, the surface of brick specimens becomes damaged, compressive and the flexural strength (as well as dynamic modulus of elasticity, toughness and weight) is decreased, and so is the propagation speed of ultrasonic waves through the specimens. With each freeze–thaw cycle, new micropores and cracks appear.

As the literature shows, the influence of pore-size distribution on brick resistance to freeze–thaw cycles has been an interesting topic for many years. However, the literature fails to cover all the factors that simultaneously influence the frost resistance of a brick, a process that is not simple and easy to investigate. The authors of this paper study the simultaneous influence of the following factors: the characteristics of raw material, shaping method and influence of firing regime (with particular emphasis on the retention time) on frost resistance of the bricks exposed to freeze–thaw cycles, by monitoring the changes in surface appearance and the changes of compressive strength as well as the Maage factor. A correlation was set up between the Maage factor and the ratio of compressive strength before and after freezing as a quantitative indicator of brick frost-resistance.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Characterization of Raw Materials

Two mineralogically different raw materials (S1 and S2), from Kukljaš clay pit in the region of Osijek, Slavonia, Croatia, were used for the manufacturing of the bricks. Chemical quantitative analysis of these raw materials was done by using X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy—XRF analysis. The equipment, ARTAX 200 µ-XRF spectrometer (BRUKER Nano, Karlsruhe, Germany), was provided with a Rh cathode ray tube and an integrated camera. The spectra were obtained under the following experimental conditions: voltage of 25 kV , current intensity of $1500 \mu\text{A}$, scanning time in the duration of 100 s in the helium atmosphere condition. The obtained spectra were analyzed by using the integrated ARTAX SPECTRA 7 software developed by BRUKER. The described portable machine works in a contactless mode at a distance of 2 cm where the angle of emitted X-rays is 45° in relation to the test area. The measurements of the elemental analysis were done on 10 different points with the energy of the electron beam of 15 keV (amplification of 200 times). The obtained results are given in Table [1,](#page-3-0) where the values of the peaks are labeled with Net, while the element concentrations with Conc.

Table 1. Average values of the constituent elements in the samples of raw materials.

The chemical composition of the raw materials, by using scanning electron microscopy (FE_SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), are expressed in the form of oxide, Table [2.](#page-4-0)

Chemical Compound	Sample Label		
	$S1$, mass $(\%)$	S2, mass $(\%)$	
SiO ₂	58.72	48.46	
CO ₂	5.39	18.90	
Al ₂ O ₃	21.00	17.59	
FeO	6.38	5.52	
CaO	1.56	3.24	
K_2O	3.08	2.50	
MgO	2.56	2.47	
TiO ₂	0.75	0.72	
Na ₂ O	0.55	0.60	

Table 2. Chemical composition of two raw materials expressed in oxide form. **Table 2.** Chemical composition of two raw materials expressed in oxide form.

The mineralogical composition, Figure 1, of the raw materials was determined by using X-ray The mineralogical composition, Figure [1,](#page-4-1) of the raw materials was determined by using X-ray
structural analysis (XRD) while the content of combustible and organic substances was determined according to the HRN U.B1.024 standard [\[29\]](#page-16-12). The content of carbonates was assessed based on the
HRN U.B1.026 standard [30], Table 3. HRN *U*.B1.026 standard [30], Table 3.

Figure 1. Comparative analyses of two raw materials X-ray structural analysis (XRD) diagrams. **Figure 1.** Comparative analyses of two raw materials X-ray structural analysis (XRD) diagrams.

Table 3. Results of combustible, organic substances and carbonate content in the raw materials. **Table 3.** Results of combustible, organic substances and carbonate content in the raw materials.

Distribution of particles of the raw materials was determined according to the ASTM D 422–63 Distribution of particles of the raw materials was determined according to the ASTM D 422–63 standard [31], and the results of the testing are shown in Figure 2 an[d T](#page-5-0)able 4. T[he](#page-5-1) dispersion of the sample for the hydrometer method was done in an electric mixer; the duration of mixing was 1 min.

Figure 2. Particle-size distribution of two raw materials. **Figure 2.** Particle-size distribution of two raw materials.

Sample Label	Particle Description (Shape, Hard.)	Grain (mm)	G(%)	S(%	$M(\%)$	C(%)
\bullet S1	round, hard and solid		000	4.77	52.74	42.49
°S2	round, hard and solid		0.00	4.66	53.67	41.67

Table 4. Particle-size distribution of two raw materials. **Table 4.** Particle-size distribution of two raw materials.

Legend: G—gravel, S—sand, M—mold, C—clay Legend: G—gravel, S—sand, M—mold, C—clay.

The results of the mineralogical analysis shown in Figure 1 point to the presence of the following The results of the mineralogical analysis shown in Figure [1](#page-4-1) point to the presence of the following minerals: quartz (Q), feldspar (F), dolomite (D), calcite (C), mica (L), chlorite (Cl) and montmorillonite minerals: quartz (Q), feldspar (F), dolomite (D), calcite (C), mica (L), chlorite (Cl) and montmorillonite (M) in the case of both raw materials. The results of the chemical and mineralogical analysis show (M) in the case of both raw materials. The results of the chemical and mineralogical analysis show that both raw materials have a very similar composition, with a small difference in the amount of ${\rm CaO:}$ 1.56 mass% in the raw material S1 and 3.24 mass% in the raw material S2). Clays with the CaO content under 6 mass% [\[32\]](#page-16-15) are considered to be low-carbonate materials. Therefore, both raw materials can be characterized as low-carbonate—with a slight difference in the amount of the CaO content. The granulometric curves for both raw materia[ls](#page-5-0) (Figure 2) are very similar, with a slight difference in the number of small particles, i.e., clay. The test results of the mineralogical structure of the raw materials, together with their granulometric compositions, show that the raw materials are sandy raw materials, with the presence of a small quantity of carbonates, rich in clay minerals.

Furthermore, the samples of raw materials S1 and S2, prepared according to ASTM C824-91 [33], Furthermore, the samples of raw materials S1 and S2, prepared according to ASTM C824-91 [\[33\]](#page-16-16), were tested for their dilatometric properties. The samples were heated at a rate of 3 °C/min. The results of the testing are shown in [Fig](#page-6-0)ure 3a,b. The blue curve (integral) shows the relative change of the sample with the temperature expressed in percentages, while the black curve represents the differential dilatometric curve, i.e., linear change expressed in µm/min.

 0.80

 0.60

 0.20

 0.00

Dilatation (%) 0.40

Figure 3. Results of the dilatometric analysis of raw materials (**a**) S1 and (**b**) S2. **Figure 3.** Results of the dilatometric analysis of raw materials (**a**) S1 and (**b**) S2.

The results of the dilatometric analysis, with the previous knowledge of the mineralogical The results of the dilatometric analysis, with the previous knowledge of the mineralogical composition of the raw materials, point to some characteristic effects: extracting moisture adsorption, composition of the raw materials, point to some characteristic effects: extracting moisture adsorption, dehydroxylation of clay minerals, ignition of organic substances, decarbonization as well as the dehydroxylation of clay minerals, ignition of organic substances, decarbonization as well as the process of sintering/vitrification process. The first change (shrinking) on the dilatometric curve, in the case of both samples, occurs at 100 °C, which is the consequence of the loss of the adsorption moisture in the analyzed raw materials [\[5](#page-15-3)[,32\]](#page-16-15). The combustion (oxidation) of the organic substances is visible at 300 °C in the case of both raw materials, which is accompanied by a linear expansion of the samples. By further increase of temperature, the linear expansion can be detected until 550–600 °C, where the differential dilatometric curve shows a corresponding distinctive effect. This effect occurs because of the transformation β into α quartz and the dehydroxylation of clay minerals. The expansion of the samples is continuous at the temperature between 700 and 800 °C. Namely, at temperatures of about 700 $^{\circ}$ C the process of decarbonization begins, first of calcite and then of dolomite, where a certain amount of CO₂ is created contributing to the expansion of the samples [\[34\]](#page-16-17). The maximum expansion

of 0.74% was identified for the raw material S1, and a slightly higher value, 0.80%, for the sample S2. A sudden shrinking of the samples, due to the completion of montmorillonite structure decomposition,
is noticed at the process of the pr is noticed at temperatures above 800 °C. The process of vitrification starts up at around 900 °C [\[32](#page-16-15)[,34\]](#page-16-17). According to Elert et al. [\[11\]](#page-15-5) a more significant vitrification happens for raw materials with a lower carbonate content, as is the case of the raw material S1 where this phenomenon happens in two steps, at 940 °C and at 990 °C.

2.2. Brick Manufacturing 2.2. Brick Manufacturing

From each of the two raw materials (S1, S2), two sets of bricks were made: one by hand (hand-made bricks) and the other on an industrial line (machine-made bricks). The hand-made bricks were made on made on the manufacturing line of the company "Old art décor" d.o.o., Josipovac, Croatia, while the manufacturing line of the company "Old art décor" d.o.o., Josipovac, Croatia, while machine-made
. bricks, measuring 250/120/65 cm, were produced on an industrial line at "OPEKA" d.d. brick company Osijek, Osijek, Croatia. There were 100 specimens of bricks made by hand and 100 industrial bricks from each raw material (S1, S2). All brick specimens were dried for 45 days. They were placed on a flat ground covered with sand to prevent the sudden loss of moisture. After drying, the firing process followed in an industrial kiln (Hans Lingl Anlagenbau und Verfahrenstechnik GmbH & Co. KG kiln from 2003, Lingl, Krumbach, Germany). The used firing regimes are shown in Figure [4.](#page-7-0) regimes are shown in Figure 4. $\frac{1}{2}$ from each of the two raw materials (S1, S2), two sets of bricks were made: one by hand-(hand-(hand-(hand-(hand-)) m_{tot} and the other materials (σ_1, σ_2) , two sets of bricks were made. One by hand-made

a)

Figure 4. Firing regime of the bricks (**a**) at 1030 °C and (**b**) at 1060 °C. **Figure 4.** Firing regime of the bricks (**a**) at 1030 ◦C and (**b**) at 1060 ◦C.

b)

The maximum of the firing temperatures (1030/1060 $°C$) was determined based on the characteristics of the raw materials and of the results of Elert et all [\[11\]](#page-15-5). Namely, these authors studied the frost resistance of non-calcareous and calcareous clay bricks fired at 700, 800, 900, 1000 and ¹¹⁰⁰ ◦C analyzing the pore structure of each brick group. However, there was a large temperature gap between the two last temperatures in the case of their investigation. As this temperature interval is significant for vitrification and formation of new crystal forms, which have an enormous influence on frost resistance characteristics, the authors decided to choose 1030 °C as the maximum firing temperature for the raw materials with lower carbonate content (S1), while the authors chose 1060 °C for S2 raw material. It was assessed that this temperature would be high enough to develop a satisfied quantity of pores with a diameter $>3 \mu$ m and reduce the volume share of the medium-sized pores. Within each of the four batches of unfired bricks (2 types of raw material \times 2 types of manufacture), the retention time of bricks at the highest achieved temperature was different, 90 min and 30 min, respectively.

2.3. Brick Testing

2.3.1. Pore-Size Distribution

Hg porosimetry [\[35\]](#page-16-18) of unfired and fired bricks was conducted by using an AutoPore IV 9500 device, model 9500 (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA), with the possibility of achieving the pressure up to 33,000 psi (228 N/mm²), pore radius from 360 to 0.001 μ m. The distribution of pores in four batches of unfired bricks (2 raw materials \times 2 types of brick manufacture) and the total volume of pores (PV) in the range of pore radius from 360 to 0.001 µm was determined with the above-mentioned porosimeter. The same parameters were determined for eight batches of the fired bricks (2 types of raw material \times 2 types of brick manufacture \times 2 different retention times in the kiln at the highest achieved temperature).

2.3.2. Testing the Resistance of Fired Bricks to Freeze–Thaw Cycles

The resistance of bricks to freeze–thaw cycles is determined according to HRN B.D8.011 standard [\[36\]](#page-16-19). The regime described in this standard is corresponding to the method described in the former Finnish standard [\[37\]](#page-16-20) which is more rigorous than the CEN/TS 772-22 standard [\[38\]](#page-17-0) and which causes measurable structure changes in the brick body. The authors in their previous study [\[39\]](#page-17-1) dealt with this standard considering that the CEN/TS 772-22 standard was not strict enough for the climate with a high level of rainfall combined with numerous freeze–thaw cycles during winter. In addition, the HRN B.D8.011 standard requires a smaller number of testing specimens (brick units) compared to the CEN/TS 772-22 standard [\[4\]](#page-15-2). Considering the chosen standard, the specimens were saturated with water and exposed to temperatures −20 ± 2 ◦C for four hours in a climate chamber. Subsequently, the specimens were submerged in water at a temperature of $+15$ to 20 \degree C, also for four hours. This cycle was repeated 25 times and the specimens were examined after every cycle. The brick is considered durable to freeze–thaw cycles if after 25 cycles of freezing and then defrosting in water, there are no signs of damage in any of the examined specimens. However, since this method gives a qualitative, and not a quantitative assessment, for an additional assessment of the brick resistance to freeze–thaw cycles the changes in compressive strength (expressed as the ratio of compressive strength of the bricks before and after freezing) were studied. For this purpose, compressive strength values of the bricks were determined according to EN 772-1 [\[40\]](#page-17-2). Average values of the compressive strength before and after freeze–thaw were considered for a future investigation.

3. Results

The distribution of pores of the unfired bricks for each specified size, determined by Hg porosimetry, is shown in Figure [5.](#page-9-0) Based on the reference literature, pores with a diameter of less than 0.1 μ m are considered to be small, while the ones with the diameter over 1.0 µm are considered to be large [\[1](#page-15-0)[,16\]](#page-16-0). Maage $[5-10]$ $[5-10]$ in his equation considers pores to be large only if their diameter is over 3.0 μ m. Based on

this fact, the authors decided to use the Maage methodology and his equation will be considered for further analysis. This approach was used for both the unfired and fired bricks. In line with this, medium-sized pores are considered to be those with the diameter in the range of 0.1 –3.0 μ m. The used specimen labels are given in Table [5.](#page-12-0) The pore-size distribution of the unfired and fired brick specimens is given in Figures 5 and 6. μ furthermore, μ is the μ shows portal into the methodology and this equation will be considered in the μ

Figure 5. Pore-size distribution in the unfired bricks.

The pore-size distribution of the unfired and fired brick specimens is given in Figures [5](#page-9-0) and [6.](#page-10-0) Furthermore, Figure [7](#page-10-1) shows pores of each size, according to Maage methodology categorized into groups of large–medium–small, in the unfired and fired bricks. This Figure was designed based on the previous Figure [6,](#page-10-0) that describes the pores' dimensions into the interval 100–0.002 μ m (Hg-porosimetry).

Figure 6. Pore-size distribution in the (a) hand-made and (b) machine-made fired bricks.

Figure 7. Pore-size distribution and total porosity of the (a) unfired bricks and (b) fired bricks.

Materials **2020**, 13, 2364 11 of 17

The appearance of the bricks after the exposure to freeze–thaw cycles are shown in Figure [8.](#page-11-0) The appearance of the bricks after the exposure to freeze–thaw cycles are shown in Figure 8.

a) S1H1030-1.5h b) S1H1030-0.5h

c) S2H1060-1.5 h d) S2H1060-0.5 h

e) S1M1030-1.5 h f) S1M1030-0.5 h

g) S2M1060-1.5 h h) S2M1060-0.5 h

Figure 8. Bricks after freeze–thaw cycles. **Figure 8.** Bricks after freeze–thaw cycles.

Way of Shaping		Hand-Made (H)		Machine-Made (M)		
Raw material Unfired specimens		S1 S ₁ H	S ₂ S2H	S1 S1 M	S2 S2M	
Fired specimens	Thermal treatment	max T. 1030 $^{\circ}$ C	max T. 1060 $^{\circ}$ C	max T. 1030 $^{\circ}$ C	max T. $1060 °C$	
	Retention time $0.5h$	S1H1030-0.5h	S2H1060-0.5h	S1M1030-0.5h	S2M1060-0.5h	
	Retention time $1.5h$	S1H1030-1.5h	S ₂ H _{1060-1.5h}	S1M1030-1.5h	S2M1060-1.5h	

Table 5. Used raw materials, way of shaping, maximal firing temperature and retention time at the maximal temperature.

Values of compressive strengths (average of ten measurements) of the bricks before and after the exposure to freeze–thaw cycles are presented in Figure [9](#page-12-1) while these values in comparison with the ratio of compressive strength before and after the cycles are show in Table [6.](#page-12-2)

Table 6. Results of testing–assessment of brick resistance according to both direct and indirect methods, **Table 6.** Results of testing–assessment of brick resistance according to both direct and indirect total volume of pores and Maage factor.

4. Discussion ports, Publishers, Publishers,

Figure 9 shows that the bricks made from S2 raw material achieved higher compressive strength As the microstructure of S1 bricks was obtained based on the vitrification process [\[34,](#page-16-17)[41\]](#page-17-3), evidently, the content of glass phase decreased the mechanical value of the bricks. Considering the retention time than the ones made from S1 raw material fired at the maximum reached temperature (1060/1030 ◦C).

of bricks at the highest temperatures, the obtained results showed that this parameter has a positive influence on the value of compressive strengths value. This fact is the consequence of the formed microstructure with a higher content of larger pores and a lower content of dangerous medium and small pores, Figure [7.](#page-10-1)

Based on the appearance of the bricks after their exposure to freeze–thaw cycles (Figure [8\)](#page-11-0), it was concluded that none of the brick groups had any damage caused by freezing/thawing procedure and all were assessed as resistant. However, the ratio of compressive strength before and after freeze–thaw test (Table [6\)](#page-12-2) shows that some bricks have undergone more pronounced inside changes. According to the literature cited in the Introduction $[11,12,17,18]$ $[11,12,17,18]$ $[11,12,17,18]$ $[11,12,17,18]$, a clay material with a lower CaCO₃ content should ensure better durability of the final products than the one with a higher content of CaCO3. Based on the results, only the machine-made bricks confirmed this conclusion. As shown in Figure [7b](#page-10-1)), the machine-made bricks produced from S2 raw material developed a similar share of large pores (except the group of bricks S2M1060-0.5h) as those made from S1 raw material, but a larger share of medium-sized pores (harmful pores), which proves a better freeze–thaw resistance of the bricks made of S1 clay.

The opposite was true for the hand-made bricks: the ratio of medium pores was lower for bricks made of clay with a higher content of $CaCO₃$ (S2) (e.g., S1H1030-1.5h vs. S2H1060-1.5h; S1H1030-0.5h vs. S2H1060-0.5h), but the share of large pores was higher. Considering that the trends in the pore ratios were different for hand and machine-made bricks, it was not possible to conclude whether the raw material unambiguously affected the durability values or if it was due to the shaping procedure.

Analyzing the results from Figure [7a](#page-10-1), it is evident that the raw materials have a more significant effect on the total share of pores than on their distribution. In fact, in the case of the fired bricks made from S1 raw material, the total porosity decreased in comparison with the unfired bricks, while in the case of the fired bricks made from S2 raw material, the total porosity increased in comparison with the unfired ones. This is the reason why machine shaping bricks made from S2 raw material, even though they achieved better compressive strengths in comparison with the ones made from S1, did not reach a satisfactory frost resistance.

Comparing the ratio of compressive strengths (Table [6\)](#page-12-2) of the bricks made from the same raw material and with the same retention time at the maximum reached temperature, but shaped differently (hand-made/machine-made) (S1H1030-1.5h vs. S1M1030-1.5h; S2H1060-1.5h vs. S2M1060-1.5h), it could not be concluded that shaping procedure directly affect their resistance to freeze–thaw cycles, which is contrary to the conclusions given in [\[19](#page-16-3)[–21\]](#page-16-4). Evidently, the shaping procedure influenced the structure of pores in the case of the unfired bricks (Figure [7a](#page-10-1)), but the microstructure changes, after the firing process, defined their final resistance.

Reviewing the ratio of compressive strengths before and after the freeze–thaw cycles, it could be firmly concluded that the bricks with a longer retention time at the maximum temperature (1.5 h), generate a better resistance to freeze–thaw cycles than bricks with a shorter retention time (0.5 h): the ratio of compressive strengths was higher in the case of S1H1030-1.5h than in S1H1030-0.5h, again higher in the case of S1M1030-1.5h than in S1M1030-0.5h. The explanation could be found in the change of microstructure but also of the pore structure, Figure [7b](#page-10-1). These results point out that the bricks retained longer at the maximum temperature (1.5 h), regularly report a greater share of large pores and a smaller share of medium pores than the bricks made from the same raw material, using the same type of manufacture (hand-made or machine-made), but fired at the same temperature for a shorter amount of time (e.g., S1H1030-1.5 h vs. S1H1030-0.5 h; S1M1030-1.5 h vs. S1M1030-0.5 h). This result is compatible with the reference literature [\[5](#page-15-3)[–10\]](#page-15-4).

Taking into account the share of large pores (P3), Figure [7b](#page-10-1) and the total volume of pores (PV), the Maage factor, $F_C = 3.2 \times PV + 2.4 \times P3$, for the analyzed group of bricks was calculated, Table [6.](#page-12-2)

In addition to the Maage factor (F_C) , Table [6,](#page-12-2) shows again the assessment of brick resistance to freeze–thaw cycles according to HRN B.D8.011 [\[36\]](#page-16-19). This presentation summarizes the obtained results of the used methods in order to assess the brick resistance to freeze–thaw cycles.

It is evident (Table [6\)](#page-12-2) that the bricks, which are assessed to be resistant to freeze–thaw cycles according to HRN B.D8.011 [\[36\]](#page-16-19), have the ratio of compressive strengths over 0.72 and the Maage factor in range 27 to 100. Based on the reference literature [\[5](#page-15-3)[–10\]](#page-15-4), only the bricks with the Maage factor higher than 70 are considered resistant to freeze–thaw cycles. However, in the research, even the bricks with a smaller Maage factor (68:27) proved to be resistant. While trying to make a relation between the ratio of compressive strengths and the Maage factor (Figure [10\)](#page-14-0), a low coefficient of correlation of $R^2 = 0.26$ for the equation y = 209.64x − 95.19 (y is the Maage factor and x is the ratio of compressive strengths) was obtained in the case that all bricks were analyzed together regardless of the method of brick forming. However, if the results are processed separately for hand-made and machine-made bricks (Figure [10\)](#page-14-0), it is evident that machine-made bricks have a significantly higher correlation coefficient value than hand-made bricks. Namely, the coefficient of correlation of $R^2 = 0.28$ for the equation y = 135.88x − 30.60 was achieved for hand-made bricks, while machine-made bricks achieved the coefficient of correlation of $R^2 = 0.60$ for the equation y = 710.00x – 491.80. A higher correlation coefficient value for machine-made bricks could be due to greater uniformity characteristics of those bricks compared to hand-made bricks. If such a correlation between the Maage factor and the ratio of compressive strengths before and after the freeze-thaw cycles for machine-made bricks is confirmed on a larger number of testing results, this parameter could be used as an additional method for assessing brick resistance to freezing and thawing cycles. This interesting observation will be further explored by the authors.

Figure 10. Ratio of compressive strengths before and after freeze–thaw cycles vs. the Maage factor.

5. Conclusions

By analyzing the research results, the following conclusions could be made:

- Minor differences between the characteristics of raw materials had an important effect on the total share of pores in the case of the unfired brick specimens. It is not possible to conclude whether the raw material characteristics had an unambiguous influence on the compressive strength value after freeze–thaw cycles of the clay bricks;
- The mmethod of brick shaping influences the structure of pores of the unfired bricks; the machine-made bricks develop a greater share of large and a smaller share of medium pores than the hand-made ones. However, due to the transformation of the pore structure during the firing process, it is not possible to claim that the method of brick forming directly influenced their resistance to freeze–thaw cycles;
- A more extended period of brick retention at the highest achieved temperature results in a better resistance of the bricks to freeze–thaw cycles;
- All bricks proved to be resistant to freeze–thaw cycles according to the HRN B.D8.011 standard. The ratio of their compressive strengths before and after the exposure to freeze–thaw cycles was introduced as a quantitative parameter. For all the tested bricks, it was higher than 0.72 while the Maage factor of these bricks was in the range 27 to 100;
- A good relation between the Maage factor and the ratio of compressive strength before and after freeze–thaw cycles was observed in the case of the machine-made bricks. If such a correlation is confirmed on a larger number of testing bricks, the ratio of compressive strength before and after freeze–thaw cycles could be used as a new additional method for assessing brick resistance to freezing and thawing cycles.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.N.G. and M.V.; methodology, I.N.G., M.V., J.R. and S.V.; formal analysis, I.N.G., M.V., J.R. and S.V.; investigation, I.N.G., M.V., J.R. and S.V.; resources, I.N.G. and J.R., writing—original draft preparation, I.N.G., M.V., J.R. and S.V.; writing—review and editing, I.N.G., M.V., J.R. and S.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture Osijek, Croatia, as well as the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development (Project No. 451-03-68/2020-14/20013).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Koroth, S.R. Evaluation and Improvement of Frost Durability of Clay Bricks. Ph.D. Thesis, Concordia University, Montreal, QC, Canada, 1997.
- 2. Abu Bakar, B.H.; Wan Ibrahim, M.H.; Megat Johari, M.A. A review: Durability of fired clay brick masonry wall due to salt attack. *Int. J. Integr. Eng.* **2011**, *1*, 111–127.
- 3. Mensinga, P. Determining the Critical Degree of Saturation of Brick Using Frost Dilatometry. Master's Thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada, 2009.
- 4. EN 772-22:2018. *Methods of Test for Masonry Units. Determination of Freeze*/*Thaw Resistance of Clay Masonry Units*; European Committee for Standardization (CEN, French: Comité Européen de Normalisation): Brussels, Belgium, 2018.
- 5. Vračević, M. A Contribution to Durability of Masonry Structures. Ph.D. Thesis, University J. J. Strossmayer in Osijek, Faculty of Civil engineering and Architecture Osijek, Osijek, Croatia, 2019. (In Croatian).
- 6. Dondi, M.; Marsigli, M.; Ventui, I. Microstructure and mechanical properties of clay bricks: Comparison between fast firing and traditional firing. *Br. Ceram. Trans.* **1999**, *98*, 12–18. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/bct.1999.98.1.12)
- 7. Bracka, A.; Rusin, Z. Comparison of pore characteristics and water absorption in ceramics materials with frost resistance factor Fc. *Struct. Environ.* **2012**, *4*, 15–19.
- 8. Korenska, M.; Chobola, Z.; Sokolar, R.; Mikulkova, P.; Martinek, J.A.N. Frequency inspection as an assesment tool for the frost resistance of fired roof tiles. *Ceram. Silik.* **2006**, *50*, 185–192.
- 9. Sveda, M. The effect of firing temperature and dwell time on the frost resistance of a clay roofing tile. *Ziegelind. Int.* **2004**, *5*, 36–40.
- 10. Brosnan, A. Testing and Freeze-Thaw Durability Prediction for Clay Bricks. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Technol.* **2014**, *3*, 1270–1275.
- 11. Elert, K.; Culturone, G.; Rodriguez-Navarro, C.; Pardo, E.S. Durability of bricks used in the conservation of historic buildings – influence of composition and microstructure. *J. Cult. Herit.* **2003**, *4*, 91–99. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1296-2074(03)00020-7)
- 12. Cultrone, G.; Sebastian, E.; Elert, K.; De la Torre, M.J.; Cazalla, O.; Rodriguez-Navarro, C. Influence of mineralogy and firing temperature on the porosity of bricks. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2004**, *24*, 547–564. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2219(03)00249-8)
- 13. Hansen, W.; Kung, J.H. Pore structure and frost durability of clay bricks. *Mater. Struct.* **1988**, *21*, 443–447. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02472325)
- 14. Stryszewska, T.; Kanka, S. Forms of Damage of Bricks Subjected to Cyclic Freezing and Thawing in Actual Conditions. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 1165. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma12071165)
- 15. Ravaglioli, A. Evaluation of frost resistance of pressed ceramic products based on the dimensional distribution pores. *Trans. Br. Ceram. Soc.* **1976**, *76*, 92–95.
- 16. Kung, J.H. Frost durability of Canadian clay bricks. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Brick Masonry Conference, Melbourne, Australia, 17–20 February 1985*; Brick Development Research Institute, University of Melbourne, Department of Architecture and Building: Melbourne, Australia, 1985; pp. 245–251.
- 17. Bauluz, B.; Mayayo, M.J.; Fennandez–Nieto, C.; Cultrone, G.; Gonzalez Lopez, J.M. Assessment of technological properties of calcerous and non-calcerous clays used for the brick-making industry of Zaragoza (Spain). *Appl. Clay Sci.* **2003**, *24*, 121–126. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-1317(03)00152-2)
- 18. Raimondo, M.; Dondi, M.; Gardini, D.; Guarini, G.; Mazzanti, F. Predicting the initial rate of water absorption in clay bricks. *Constr. Build Mater.* **2009**, *23*, 2623–2630. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.01.009)
- 19. Fernandes, F.M.; Lourenço, P.B.; Castro, F. Ancient Clay Bricks: Manufacture and Properties. In *Materials, Technologies and Practice in Historic Heritage Structures*; Dan Bostenaru, M., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2010; pp. 29–48.
- 20. Robinson, G.C. The relationship between pore structure and durability of brick. *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.* **1984**, *63*, 295–300.
- 21. Bajare, D.; Svinka, V. Restoration of the historical brick masonry. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Congress on Deterioration and Conservation of Stone, Venice, Italy, 19–24 June 2000*; Fassina, V., Ed.; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2011; pp. 3–11.
- 22. Matysek, P.; Stryszewska, T.; Kańka, S.; Witkowski, M. The influence of water saturation on mechanical properties of ceramic bricks–tests on 19th-century and contemporary bricks. *Mater. Constr.* **2016**, *66*, 323.
- 23. Netinger, I.; Vračević, M.; Ranogajec, J.; Vučetić, S. Evaluation of brick resistance to freeze/thaw cycles according to indirect procedures. *Gradevinar* **2014**, *66*, 197–209.
- 24. Ducman, V.; Sever Škarpin, A.; Radeka, M.; Ranogajec, J. Frost resistance of clay roofing tiles: Case study. *Ceram. Int.* **2011**, *37*, 85–91. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2010.08.012)
- 25. Ali Lakho, N.; Auchar Zardari, M. Structural Properties of Baked Clay Bricks Fired with Alternate Fuels. *Engineering* **2016**, *8*, 676–683. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/eng.2016.810061)
- 26. Oti, J.E.; Kinuthia, J.M.; Bai, J. Freeze–thaw of stabilised clay brick. *Waste Res. Manag.* **2010**, *163*, 129–135. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/warm.2010.163.3.129)
- 27. Al-Jaberi, Z.; Gheni, A.; Myers, J.J.; ElGawady, M. Ability to resist different weathering actions of eco-friendly wood fiber masonry blocks. In Proceedings of the 16th International Brick and Block Masonry Conference, Padova, Italy, 26–30 June 2016; CRC Press: London, UK, 2016; pp. 883–888.
- 28. Coletti, C.; Culturone, G.; Maritan, L.; Mazzoli, C. Howto face the newindustrial challenge of compatible, sustainable brick production: Study of various types of commercially available bricks. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **2016**, *124–125*, 219–226. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2016.02.014)
- 29. HRN U.B1.024:1968. *Određivanje Sadržaja Sagorljivih i Organskih Materija Tla*; Croatian Standard Institute: Zagreb, Croatia, 1968. (In Croatian)
- 30. HRN U.B1.026:1968. *Određivanje Granica Konzistencije Tla*; Croatian Standard Institute: Zagreb, Croatia, 1968. (In Croatian)
- 31. ASTM D 422-63:2007. *Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils*; ASTM: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2007.
- 32. Maniatis, Y. The Emergence of Ceramic Technology and its Evolution as Revealed with the use of Scientific Techniques. In *From Mine to Microscope: Advances in the Study of Ancient Technology*; Shortland, A.S., Ed.; Oxbow Books: Oxford, UK, 2009; pp. 11–28.
- 33. ASTM C824-91:2015. *Standard Practice for Specimen Preparation for Determination of Linear Thermal Expansion of Vitreous Glass Enamels and Glass Enamel Frits by the Dilatometer Method*; ASTM: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2015.
- 34. Arsenović, M. Optimization and Prediction of the Quality of Materials, Process and Final Properties of Heavy Clay Products by Mathematical Modeling of the Characteristic Parameters. Ph.D. Thesis, University in Belgrade, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, Belgrade, Serbia, 2018. (In Serbian).
- 35. Coletti, C.; Culturone, G.; Maritan, L.; Mazzoli, C. Combined multi-analytical approach for study of pore system in bricks: How much porosity is there? *Mater. Charact.* **2016**, *121*, 82–92. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2016.09.024)
- 36. HRN B.D8.011:1987. *Pune Glinene Opeke. Tehniˇcki Uvjeti*; Croatian Standard Institute: Zagreb, Croatia, 1987. (In Croatian)
- 37. SFS 2803 RIL 85. *Standard: Masonry Structures of Clay and Sand-Lime Bricks*; Finnish Association of Civil Engineers: Helsinki, Finland, 1972. (In Finnish)
- 38. Weck, T.U. Frost Resistance of Masonry Bricks. Available online: http://[www.hms.civil.uminho.pt](http://www.hms.civil.uminho.pt/ibmac/1988/110.pdf)/ibmac/ 1988/[110.pdf](http://www.hms.civil.uminho.pt/ibmac/1988/110.pdf) (accessed on 28 April 2020).
- 39. Smits, A.; Mertens, S.; Gregoire, Y. Frost resistance of clay masonry units: Experimental parametric study of CEN/TS 772-22 and proposal for its optimization. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Durability of Building Materials and Components, Ghent, Belgium, 29–31 May 2017*; Shutter, D., Ed.; Rilem Publications: Ghent, Belgium, 2017; pp. 281–282.
- 40. EN 772-1:2011+A1:2015. *Methods of Test for Masonry Units-Part 1: Determination of Compressive Strength*; European Committee for Standardization (CEN, French: Comité Européen de Normalisation): Brussels, Belgium, 2015.
- 41. Karaman, S. Firing temperature and time influence on mechanical and physical properties of clay bricks. *J. Sci. Ind. Res.* **2006**, *65*, 153–159.

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.)/licenses/by/4.0/).